

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,172	03/31/2004	Oswald Kuwert	BOE01 052 4481	
7590 04/26/2007 DUANE MORRIS LLP		EXAMINER LE, DANG D		
Suite 700				
1667 K. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, 2	2000		2834	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/813,172	KUWERT ET AL.
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Dang D. Le	2834
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period w led period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely (iii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE) (date of this communication, even if timely filed)	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is	s in condition for allowar	ebruary 2007. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	s) is/are withdravallowed. 12-14 is/are rejected. Objected to.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing shows	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the deet(s) including the correction	r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Additional contents in the drawing of the attached	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce application from	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-		4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/813,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-10, and 12-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the Ohta et al. reference, the outer portion 59 of Ohta et al. is integrated with the housing 3. The term "integrated" does not mean monolithically formed with. One can say that the arm rest of a chair is integrated with the seat, although the two components are connected by means of nuts and bolts. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohta et al. is still deemed proper and repeated hereinafter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohta et al. (6,157,103).

Regarding claim 1, Ohta et al. shows an electric motor for a linear drive system comprising a motor housing (3) within which a stator (7a, 7b), a rotor (46, 72) and a

Page 3

threaded shank (43) are accommodated, the stator having a stator core and plurality of phase windings each connected to one of a plurality of phase connectors, the rotor being mounted onto a rotor hub, the rotor hub being (46) supported in the motor housing by at least one roller bearing (50) and coupled to the threaded shank to transform the rotation of the rotor into a translational motion of the threaded shank, wherein the motor housing includes a single injection molded part within which the stator, together with the stator core and the phase windings, are fully embedded (Figure 9); and wherein a linear guide (59) is integrated with the injection molded part of the motor housing, the linear guide configured to accommodate and guide the threaded shank.

Regarding claim 2, Ohta et al. also shows a stopper (54) for positioning the threaded shank, the stopper being integrated into the injection molded part of the motor housing.

Regarding claim 3, Ohta et al. also shows the phase connectors (Figure 9) being embedded in the injection molded part of the motor housing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/813,172

Art Unit: 2834

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (6,049,151) in view of Ohta et al. (6,157,103).

Regarding claim 1, Suzuki et al. shows an electric motor for a linear drive system comprising a motor housing (11) within which a stator (15, 16), a rotor (6, 8) and a threaded shank (1) are accommodated, the stator having a stator core (15) and plurality of phase windings (16) each connected to one of a plurality of phase connectors, the rotor being mounted onto a rotor hub (6), the rotor hub being supported in the motor housing by at least one roller bearing (9) and coupled to the threaded shank to transform the rotation of the rotor into a translational motion of the threaded shank (up or down in Figure 2),

wherein the motor housing (14) includes an injection molded part within which the stator, together with the stator core and the phase windings, are fully embedded; and wherein a linear guide (21) is integrated with the injection molded part of the motor housing, the linear guide configured to accommodate and guide the threaded shank.

Suzuki et al. does not show the motor housing being a single injection molded part.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,172

Art Unit: 2834

For the purpose of reducing cost by reducing components, Ohta et al. shows the motor housing (3) being a single injection molded part.

Since Suzuki et al. and Ohta et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the motor housing as a single injection molded part as taught by Ohta et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 2, Suzuki et al. also shows a stopper (flat part of hole 21) for positioning the threaded shank, the stopper being integrated into the injection molded part of the motor housing.

Regarding claim 4, Suzuki et al. also shows the threaded shank having an outer thread (7) and the rotor hub has an inner thread (2) which interacts with each other.

Regarding claim 5, Suzuki et al. also shows the rotor hub including an injection molded part (6) within which the rotor is embedded.

Regarding claim 6, Suzuki et al. also shows bearing supports for the roller bearings being integrated into the injection molded part of the motor housing (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 10, Suzuki et al. also shows the electric motor being a hybrid stepping motor.

Regarding claim 12, Suzuki et al. also shows the stopper (flat part) interacting with linear guide (hole 21).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,172

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 13, Suzuki et al. also shows a motor flange (12) being molded onto the injection molded part of the motor housing.

8. Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (6,049,151) in view of Palmero (3,621,312).

Regarding claim 8, Suzuki et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the rotor having two pole plates which are separated by a permanent magnet, the pole plates and the permanent magnet being held and positioned in the injection molded part of the rotor hub.

Palmero shows besides the rotor with circumferential positioned magnet (Figure 5), the rotor having two pole plates (Figure 1) which are separated by a permanent magnet, the pole plates and the permanent magnet being held and positioned in the injection molded part of the rotor hub for the purpose of reducing stray field loss.

Since Suzuki et al. and Palmero are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the rotor with two pole plates which are separated by a permanent magnet, the pole plates and the permanent magnet being held and positioned in the injection molded part of the rotor hub as taught by Palmero for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 14, Suzuki et al. also shows the electric motor being a hybrid stepping motor.

Art Unit: 2834

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. in view of Palmero and further in view of Akagi (4,742,989).

Regarding claim 9, the motor of Suzuki et al. modified by Palmero includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for a plurality of bearing supports for the roller bearings being integrated into the injection molded part of the rotor hub. Suzuki et al. shows only one roller bearing.

Akagi shows many bearings for the purpose of reducing friction.

Since Suzuki et al., Palmero, and Akagi are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use many bearings as taught by Akagi for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

4/22/07

DANG LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER